

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 09/982,126  | 10/17/2001      | Thomas E. Isaman     | 15870-117              | 9342             |
| 23595   | 7590 12/06/2004 |                      | EXAM                   | INER             |
| NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A.<br>900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH<br>SUITE 820 |                 |                      | NOVOSAD, CHRISTOPHER J |                  |
|   |                 |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   | LIS, MN 55402   |                      | 3671                   |                  |

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/982,126   | ISAMAN ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Christopher J. Novosad   | 3671  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC<br>Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave<br>final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1)<br>condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea<br>Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | oid abandonment of this applicate) a timely filed amendment which  | ation. A/proper reply to a  |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be   | ecause:  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further  | er consideration and/or search (s  | see NOTE below);  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (c)  they are not deemed to place the application in<br>issues for appeal; and/or   | n better form for appeal by mate   | rially reducing or simplifying the  |  |  |  |  |
| (d)  they present additional claims without canceli   | ng a corresponding number of fi  | nally rejected claims.  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: The proposed amendments would raise in  | new issues requiring further conside   | eration and search.   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject  | tion(s):   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | be allowable if submitted in a se  | eparate, timely filed amendment   |  |  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:  |  | dered but does NOT place the  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | ause it is not directed SOLELY t   | o issues which were newly   |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we   | •  |   |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-18,22-25 and 27-32</u> .  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>26</u> .  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  | ·  | na Evaminas   |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appliance.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer  | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | <del></del>   |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  |  | Christopher J. Novosad<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 3671  |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)